Learning Objectives

• Describe common biases about obesity and people living with obesity
• Describe trends in childhood obesity and its impact upon health
• Discuss how biases interfere with progress in efforts to reduce the impact of childhood obesity
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Outline

• Defining bias
  – Effects on science, policy, and people
• Childhood obesity trends
• Overcoming Bias
  – Myths, presumptions, and facts
  – Cause and effect
  – Looking carefully at the science
What Is This Bias of Which I Speak?

Bias is an inclination or outlook to present or hold a partial perspective, often accompanied by a refusal to consider or even acknowledge the possible merits of alternative points of view. Biases are learned implicitly within cultural contexts. People may develop biases toward or against an individual, a social, political, religious, racial, or ethnic group, a nation, a species, asexual orientation, political party, or theoretical paradigms within academic domains, or a species.

– Adapted from Psychology: Contemporary Perspectives by Paul Okami

Two Kinds of Bias Are Pervasive in Obesity and Nutrition

• Intellectual bias favoring personal convictions
• Weight bias directed at people with obesity

The Impact of Bias Starts with Research & Scientific Literature

• Observational studies
• Short-term endpoints
• Surrogate endpoints
• Publication bias
• Repetitive studies build a bias of familiarity

The Impact of Bias in Childhood Obesity

How Does Bias Affect Research & Policy?

Myths and Presumptions Presented as Facts

Myths
• Small energy changes add up to big weight loss
• Realistic goals yield better weight outcomes
• Slow weight loss is best
• Readiness to change matters
• PE prevents childhood obesity
• Breastfeeding prevents obesity

Presumptions
• Eating breakfast prevents weight gain
• Early exercise and eating habits shape weight for life
• Eating fruits and veggies will reduce weight or prevent gain
• Snacking causes obesity
• Sidewalks and parks prevent obesity
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Source: Casazza et al, 2013.01, NEJM
Presumptions Triumph Over Scientific Complexity

Myths and Presumptions Become the Basis for Policy Decisions
- Low-fat dietary recommendations
- Promoting breastfeeding to prevent obesity
- Investments to eradicate food deserts
- Restaurant menu labeling

Bias Drives Policy Decisions That Affect Clinical Care

“Prevention obviously has to be the primary strategy for dealing with obesity, because there’s just too much obesity to treat.”

The Impact of Bias in Childhood Obesity

Bias Directed at People with Obesity

Weight Bias Flows from Common Assumptions About People with Obesity

Weight Bias Flows from Common Assumptions About People with Obesity
Health Professionals Harbor Bias Against Patients with Obesity

- Non-compliant
- Lazy
- Lack self-control
- Awkward
- Weak-willed

- Sloppy
- Unsuccessful
- Unintelligent
- Dishonest

Ferrante et al., 2009; Campbell et al., 2000; Fogelman et al., 2002; Foster, 2003; Hebl & Xu, 2001; Price et al., 1987; Puhl & Heuer, 2009; Huizinga et al., 2010.

Historical Bias About Obesity

The best place to start is by simply telling the patient the truth.

"Sir or Madam, it's not OK to be obese. Obesity is bad. You are overweight because you eat too much. You also need to exercise more. Your obesity cannot be blamed on the fast food or carbonated beverage industry or anyone or anything else.

You weigh too much because you eat too much.

Your health and your weight are your responsibility.”

Robert Doroghazi, MD
AJM, Mar 2015

Self-Care Is Often the Only Option Available for Obesity

Weight Loss Scams

Self-Care

Evidence-Based Care Is Mostly Out of Reach for People with Obesity

Post Surgery Care
Surgical Care
Pharmacotherapy
Professional Lifestyle Therapy
Self Care

Because of Weight Bias The Standard of Care Is No Care

- Most PCPs do not routinely address obesity
- If they do, they simply instruct the patient to lose weight
  - Referral to IBT is uncommon
  - Most physicians will not consider drug therapy
  - Few are considered for surgery

Only 36 Clinics for 5 Million Children with Severe Obesity

Source: http://conscienhealth.org/2017/02/childhood-obesity-treatment-programs-serve-many/
Why So Few Clinics?

"I spend much of my work week raising money and fighting for funding. Our excellent adult program makes money. But we struggle due to insurance reimbursement, and the population we serve is lower income."

- Pediatric Program Medical Director

Critical Thinking About Childhood Obesity

Trends: Up, Down, or Sideways?

Prevalence of Obesity Among U.S. Children Aged 2-17 Years

Growth in Severe Childhood Obesity Is Setting the Stage for a Health Crisis

Prevalence of Obesity Among U.S. Children Aged 2-5 Years

Prevalence of Obesity Among U.S. Children Aged 2-5 Years
Prevalence of Obesity Among U.S. Children Aged 2-5 Years

Childhood Obesity Trends By Socioeconomic Quintiles

Conclusions
- Obesity decreased in children with higher SES
- But increased in children with lower SES
- News of static trends must be interpreted cautiously
- Need to understand the drivers of disparities

Critical Thinking to Overcome Bias in Childhood Obesity
Myths, Presumptions, and Facts

Some Myths to Discard
- Obesity is primarily the result of bad choices
- Promoting breastfeeding prevents obesity
- Skipping breakfast causes weight gain

Obesity Is Primarily the Result of Bad Choices?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Choices</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obesity Is Primarily the Result of Bad Choices?

Genetic Risk 70%
Obesity: Well-Understood as a Highly Heritable Disease

Body Mass in Twins

Monozygotic Twins (Intrapair Correlation = 0.86)

Dizygotic Twins (Intrapair Correlation = 0.24)

Promoting Breastfeeding Prevents Obesity?
- Meta-analyses show only a small effect at best
- Studies are confounded by longer breastfeeding mainly in families with higher:
  - Income
  - Education
  - Social status
- Publication bias is also a problem

Skipping Breakfast Causes Weight Gain?
- Two well-controlled, randomized studies
  - Dhurandhar et al., The Effectiveness of Breakfast Recommendations on Weight Loss, 2014
  - Betts et al., The Causal Role of Breakfast in Energy Balance and Health, 2014
- No effect on weight

Some Presumptions to Test
- Taxes on SSBs and junk food will prevent obesity
- Low-fat dairy leads to better health outcomes
- Promoting fruits and vegetables reduces obesity

Taxes on SSBs and Junk Food?
- Aggressive taxes on soda & junk food in Mexico may cut soda sales
- No impact yet on obesity
- Unlike tobacco, food options are many and diverse
- Declines in SSBs have not yet sparked declines in obesity
- For more: Brand-Miller and Barclay, Declining Consumption of Added Sugars and Sugar-Sweetened Beverages in Australia, 2017
Low-Fat Dairy Leads to Better Health Outcomes?

- Recent studies link full-fat dairy to better health outcomes
- But not low-fat dairy
- “While evidence remains insufficient to definitively recommend only whole-fat dairy, it certainly is robust enough not to recommend only low-fat dairy.”

Promoting Fruits and Veggies Reduces Obesity

- Americans have been eating more fruits and veggies
- Obesity rates keep growing
- All types of food are more available and affordable than ever

Some Facts to Rely Upon

- Inheritance is not destiny
- Healthy dietary patterns matter more than individual foods
- You can’t outrun a poor diet
- Intensive support helps in childhood obesity
- We have much to learn about preventing childhood obesity

Inheritance Is Not Destiny

- Genetic obesity risk is a fact to confront
- Behaviors and environment can moderate risks
- Example: sleep patterns
- Personalized prevention and care might help

Healthy Dietary Patterns Matter More Than Individual Foods

- Popular diet advice often focuses on “good” and “bad” foods
- 2015 Dietary guidelines emphasize overall eating patterns
- The Mediterranean diet offers a good example

You Can’t Outrun a Poor Diet

- Popular culture promotes exercise for weight loss
- Actual effect on weight is minimal
- Discouragement results
Intensive Support Helps in Childhood Obesity

"The benefit of treatment for obesity is clear. USPSTF recommendations should lead to universal coverage for comprehensive, intensive behavioral treatment for obesity in children and adolescents."

• USPSTF guidelines call for 26 or more contact hours
• Less intensive programs are not clearly effective

Sources: USPSTF, JAMA, 2017.06; Block and Oken, JAMA Int Med, 2017.06

Much to Learn in Childhood Obesity Prevention

• Despite best efforts, childhood obesity rates continue rising
• Though perhaps more slowly
• In a recent systematic review: “Behavioral prevention interventions are associated with small improvements in weight outcomes in mixed weight populations of children and adolescents. No intervention engenders consistently produced benefits.”

Sources: Peirson et al. CMAJ Open, 2016.02

Critical Thinking to Overcome Bias in Childhood Obesity

Correlations and Causality

Dietary Guidance Sometimes Relies Upon Observational Evidence

“Some researchers consider RCTs as the be-all and end-all of causal inference. This sentiment may be appropriate in the pharmaceutical industry, but the drug trial paradigm cannot be readily translated for use in the nutritional sciences.”

Satija et al, 2015, AdvNutr

Understanding Nutritional Epidemiology and Its Role in Policy

Standards of Evidence

Establishing Causality

Bradford Hill Criteria

Source: Austin Bradford Hill, The Environment and Disease – Association or Causation, 1965
Correlations with Unproven Causality

- High fat diets and obesity
- Food deserts and obesity
- Potatoes and diabetes

Low-Fat Diets: An Uncontrolled Policy Experiment

What if It’s All Been a Big Fat Lie?

Targeting Food Deserts Has Done Little to Reduce Obesity

- Food deserts are found in areas of high obesity
- But many variables confound the relationship
- Reverse causation is a distinct possibility

Evidence Is Lacking to Vilify Potatoes

- Observational study links potatoes with weight gain and diabetes
- More recent systematic review says no:
  "The identified studies do not provide convincing evidence to suggest an association between intake of potatoes and risks of obesity, T2D, or CVD. French fries may be associated with increased risks of obesity and T2D although confounding may be present."

Health Correlations Proven to Be Cause and Effect

- Trans fats
- Saturated fats
- Whole grains

Trans Fats Now Banned from the Food Supply

Sources: Borchert al. AJCN, 2016.
Replacing Saturated Fats with Unsaturated Fats Improves Health

- Swapping fats helps
- “Low-fat” recommendations led to more refined carbs
- Real world tradeoffs make a big difference

Whole Grains Lead to Better Health Outcomes

- Better energy balance, fullness, and satisfaction
- Favorable impact on gut microbiota

Sources of Errors

- Honest mistakes
- Personal bias
- Scientific misconduct

Consider This Case of a Retracted Study

- A gardening & cooking childhood obesity prevention obesity program
- Published, then retracted from Obesity
- Efficacy claim “not supported” by the data
- Republished with the same claims in Pediatric Obesity
- Authors say peer review “prevents the entire story from being told”

A More Recent Example of Overstated Results

- Childhood obesity program in Australian schools
- Overall analysis found no significant effect
- Yet abstract claims “some evidence of effectiveness”
Summary and Conclusions

• Bias causes profound harm:
  to people, to science, and to health promotion
• Childhood obesity trends are daunting
• Critical thinking is essential
  – Distinguish myths, presumptions, and facts
  – Recognize distinctions between correlation and causation
  – Identify and reduce errors in scientific literature

Opportunities

• Acknowledge biases
• Build a stronger evidence base
• Conduct real experiments
• Think critically
• Look for solutions that work

More Information

• For these slides:
• www.conscienhealth.org/news
• Twitter: @ConscienHealth
• www.obesityandenergetics.org/