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Overview
• Introduction
• Overview: Health- and Diabetes-Related Stigma
• Diabetes Stigma Framework (Schabert et al, 2013)
• Descriptive content analysis of diabetes-tagged food photos on Instagram:
  • Investigation questions
  • Methods
  • Findings
  • Observations
• Takeaways
• Questions
Learning Objectives

• Participants will be able to list three types of stigma experiences that impact care seeking, self-care, and quality of life.
• Participants will be able to describe the prevalence and categories of food imagery in diabetes-tagged posts on Instagram.
• Participants will be able to state factors associated with diabetes stigma and, specifically, with stigmatizing and health-promoting diabetes-tagged food posts.
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Personal interest

• Misperceptions: People “cause” their diabetes; they “eat too much sugar”
• Coping with diabetes in the context of the lived experience
• That includes social connections and digital media
• Perceptions of stigma can result in denial of diabetes, isolation from social support, internalized negative judgment, distress… (Schabert, Browne, Mosely, & Speight, 2013)
Health-related stigma

- A psychological factor that influences lives of PWDs
- Based on a characteristic or attribute that differs from norms
- Enacted (experienced) stigma: individual believes others have a negative perception of the characteristic and respond unfairly (Earnshaw & Chaudoir, 2009)
- Manifests as unwarranted criticism, failure to include, denial of human dignity, dismissal of individual worth based on disease itself (Browne, Ventura, Mosley, & Speight, 2013)

Diabetes-related stigma

- Participants with at least one chronic disease who internalize stigma to a greater degree accessed less care. (Earnshaw & Quinn, 2012)
- n = 25, 84% indicated belief that type 2 diabetes is stigmatized, or reported evidence of stigmatization (Browne, Ventura, Mosley, & Speight, 2013)
- n = 15,438, 19% of participants in Diabetes Attitudes Wishes and Needs 2 study (17 countries) reported discrimination because of their diabetes (Payot et al, 2010)
- n = 3,347, People who reported higher levels of perceived stigma reported higher levels of psychological distress, stronger depressive symptoms, and less social support, (Gredig & Bartlesen-Reamny, 2016)
- n = 5,422, 76% T1 and 52% T2 reported stigma associated with diabetes (Lo et al, 2017)

Diabetes-related stigma framework

Figure 1: A research framework to understand diabetes-related stigma.
Research questions
1. Are people likely to be exposed to food/beverage photos on Instagram that express stigma about diabetes?
2. How prevalent are health-promoting posts among diabetes-tagged food images on Instagram?
3. What are characteristics associated with both stigmatizing and health-promoting food posts?

Why Instagram?
• Online U.S. adults: 35% used Instagram in 2018 (Smith & Anderson, 2018)
• Instagram is less-studied than Facebook and Twitter
• Food is strongly associated with perceptions of diabetes

Study design
• **Qualitative**, a systematic, objective way of describing and quantifying phenomena (Elo & Kyngas, 2008)
• Data analyzed in content analysis are text, images, and expressions intended to be seen, read, interpreted (Krippendorff, 2004)
• Focuses on **manifest (observable) content and context**
• **Ethical considerations** for this project: retrospective, publicly-available secondary data; no IRB review needed
Coding

- Deductive approach to develop survey instruments with researchers Litchman and Perry Gee, PhD, RN, and undergraduate student Kylie Kida, University of Utah School of Nursing
- Analyzed complete post
- Stereotype: expressions of blame, disgust, exclusion, fear, guilt, isolation, negative stereotyping, rejection, restriction of opportunity, shame, unfairness/discrimination
- Quality assurance: two coders, Kelly Rawlings and undergraduate study Grant Hillenbrand, University of Nebraska. Any disagreements discussed and resolved; preceptor to arbitrate as needed

Sampling

- 2,390: ave. number diabetes-tagged Instagram posts/24 hrs.
- 6,612 samples, sequential posts May 12-14, 2018
- 1,401 posts screened
- Inclusion criteria: posts featuring still photos or videos of edible food/beverage with text in English
- Exclusion criteria: posts with images other than photos or videos featuring food/beverage
- Counted but excluded from further coding: food/beverage posts that were promotional (advertisements) or educational (“foods high in Vitamin D”); posts featuring dietary supplements (pills, capsules, powders, drinks); not in English

Data sets

- Preliminary set: n = 1,401
  289 (20.6%) food only
  180 (12%) dietary supplements
  95 (6.8%) education/promotional
  39 (2.8%) food and beverage
  28 (2%) beverage only
  356 (25.4%) food/beverage/both
- Secondary set: n = 212
**Results**

- **Diabetes Affinity:** 111 (53%) identified with diabetes, via choice of Instagram handle and/or in bio
- **Stigma:**
  - 62 (29.3%) indicated stigma
  - 4 most common stigma themes: blame, exclusion, guilt, restriction of opportunity
  - Exclusion and restriction of opportunity expressed solely by those with diabetes affinity
  - Of 48 no-diabetes-affinity posts, majority (70%) featured desserts/sweets
- **Sarcasm:** 26 (12%)
- **Blame:** Posts tagged with no other health or nutrition terms were the most blatant in their expression of blame. Imagery: sweets

**Results, cont’d**

- **Healthy:** 75 (35.3%) of posts identified as a “meal”
  - 60.8% of meal posts included nonstarchy vegetables, a marker of “healthy”
  - Healthy posts included a range of eating pattern or diet-related hashtags. Most common: “low carb,” “healthy eating,” “low carb high fat,” “weight loss,” and “ketogenesis”
- **Virality:**
  - Entire secondary set: 78 likes, on average
  - Stigma posts with no-diabetes-affinity less popular: 35 likes, on average

#shame
#friedchicken
#cake
#diabetes
#monster
#healthy
#lchf
#donut
#yum
#carbs
#weightloss
#friededchicken
Discussion

- Exposure to diabetes-tagged food or supplement posts on Instagram is very likely for those using search term “diabetes”
- Nearly 1/3 of food and/or beverage posts contained an expression of stigma; this study did not measure impact
- People who do not identify with diabetes link sweet food and diabetes
- Restriction of opportunity and exclusion themes are exclusively expressed by people who identify with diabetes
- Eating patterns and diets are often tagged within health-promoting food posts, yet the foods shown may not be healthy for individuals

Strengths & limitations

- Strengths
  - Focus on Instagram
  - Sampling imitated real-time scrolling through search results
  - Broad view of stigmatizing and health-promoting attributes
  - Showed lived experience elements not typically encountered within clinical setting
- Limitations
  - Sample and primary data set may not be representative
  - Deductive coding; may have missed some relevant associations; preconceived expectations likely introduced
  - Emoticons not analyzed
Takeaways
– Social media source of peer support, social modeling
– Acknowledges focus on nutrition, efforts to “eat better”
– Depictions and labels may improperly describe or categorize eating patterns. Bacon—highly processed, high in sodium—may not be the best #lchf choice for someone with high CVD risk, for example
– Explore knowledge and beliefs of people influenced by social media
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